

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,051	11/04/2003	Chun-Fai Cheng	SMBZ 2 00980	5563
27885 7590 05/22/2009 Fay Sharpe LLP			EXAMINER	
1228 Euclid Avenue, 5th Floor The Halle Building Cleveland, OH 44115			PIZIALI, JEFFREY J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/701,051	CHENG, CHUN	-FAI
Examiner	Art Unit	
JEFF PIZIALI	2629	

The amendment document filed on <u>27 March 2009</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.

item(s) is required.	cument to be compliant, correction of the following
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMEN  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.  B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.  C. Other	T DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:
2. Abstract:     A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72.     B. Other	
<ul> <li>3. Amendments to the drawings:</li> <li>A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top r "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d)</li> <li>B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correct showing amended figures, without markings, in corticol C. Other See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	tion has been eliminated. Replacement drawings
4. Amendments to the claims:  A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present.  B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all  C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the statu number by using one of the following status identifi (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (With D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been E. Other:	pending dalims (including withdrawn claims) tatus identifier, and as such, the individual status so fevery claim must be indicated after its claim ers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), drawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).  1) presented in ascending numerical order.
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in a See Continuation Sheet	accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR	1.121, see MPEP § 714.
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	
<ol> <li>Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amer filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-com- entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whichever is lo correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the followin (including a submission for a request for continued examination ( amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103 Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the coi non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.</li> </ol>	g: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental (a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle ac	
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amer filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendm amendment.	
/Jeff Piziali/ Examiner, Art Unit 2629	

<sup>--</sup> The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-324) Application No. 10/701,051

#### Continuation of 3(c) Other:

The Applicant is thanked for the Amendments filed 27 March 2009 and 10 December 2008. However, non-compliant matters have been discovered in the aforementioned responses, requiring attention before examination may continue.

37 C.F.R. § 1.121(d) requires, "One or more application drawings shall be amended in the following manner. Any changes to an application drawing must be in compliance with § 1.84 and must be submitted on a replacement sheet of drawings which albe an attachment to the amendment document and, in the top margin, labeled "Replacement Sheet." Any replacement sheet of drawings shall include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is amended. Any new sheet of drawings containing an additional figure must be labeled in the top margin as New Sheet." All changes to the drawings shall be explained, in detail, in either the drawing amendment or remarks section of the amendment paper."

The Amendment filed 10 December 2008 states, "The descriptive labels in FIG. 7 that do not appear in the specification have also been removed" (see page 7).

The Amendment filed 10 December 2008 improperly alters Figure 7 in quite a few other ways (some perhaps unintentionally), without explaining all such changes, in detail, in either the drawing amendment or remarks section of the amendment paper.

### For example:

- 1. The line originally connecting the middle of R5 to the cathode of D2 has been replaced with a line connecting the middle of D2 to the cathode of D2.
- 2. The line originally connecting the node between R5 & R6 to Q13 has been replaced with a line connecting the middle of R5 to Q13.
- 3. The emitter of Q3 is no longer connected to Vs (60V).
- 4. R25, R26, and Q13 are now linked via two lines instead of the original one line.

The examiner is not going to attempt to exhaustively explain all the circuitry differences. Suffice to say, the Figure 7 replacement circuit is significantly different from the originally submitted Figure 7.

The Applicant is respectfully encouraged to consider whether replacement Figure 7 is illustrated correctly — as numerous portions of the illustrated circuit have been rearranged and/or disconnected (possibly unintentionally). If some drawing changes were unintended, the examiner succests submitting one, corrected, relacement figures.

Additionally, the Applicant is respectfully encouraged to explain, in detail, in either the drawing amendment or remarks section of the amendment paper all changes to the drawings, as required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.121.

### Continuation of 5 Other:

The Amendment filed 27 March 2009 is an incomplete response, at least due to lacking a signature.

/Jeff Piziali/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2629 19 May 2009